

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/053,262	01/18/2002	Janice A. Brown	PC11044ADAM	1289
759	90 03/25/2003			
Gregg C. Benson Pfizer Inc.			EXAMINER	
Patent Department, MS 4159			DAVIS, DEBORAH A	
Eastern Point Ro Groton, CT 063			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/053,262	BROWN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deborah A Davis	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ju	uly 2002 .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Par	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/053,262

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-3, drawn to a method to assay PARP comprising contacting auto-ribosylated Parp with a detectable marker indicative of PARP activity classified in class 435, subclass 174.
 - II. Claim 4, drawn to a method to assay PARP activity comprising contacting auto-ribosylated PARP with an avidin-conjugated alkaline phosphatase, classified in class 436, subclass 518.
 - III. Claims 5-7, drawn to a method to identify a modulator of PARP comprising a biotinylated NAD classified in class 435, subclass 7.5.
 - IV. Claim 8 is drawn to a method to identify a modulator of PARP activity comprising avidin-conjugated alkaline phosphatase, classified in class 435, subclass 188.
 - V. Claims 9-10 is drawn to a kit comprising a biotinylated detectable marker,
 classified in class 435, subclass 975.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

1. Inventions I-IV are unrelated methods. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different

Application/Control Number: 10/053,262

Art Unit: 1641

Ň

modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have a different mode of operation. Group 1 is related to a method to assay PARP comprising a detectable marker, group III is drawn to a method to assay PARP comprising using a alkaline phosphatase, group III is drawn to a method to identify a modulator of PARP comprising using a biotinylated NAD, group IV is drawn to a method to identify a modulator of PARP comprising an avidin-conjugated alkaline phosphatase. The methods of groups I and II are unrelated because they utilize different reagents such as an alkaline phosphatase which is a reagent not required by group I. The methods of groups III and IV are unrelated to groups I and II because they are drawn to methods to identify a modulator which utilize different methods steps. Although the methods of groups III and IV are both drawn to a method of identifying a modulator, they are different in that they utilize different reagents such as an alkaline phosphatase in the method of group IV which is a reagent not required by group III.

2. Inventions (V) and (I-IV) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the products can be practiced by any of the methods of groups I through IV.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,262 Page 4

Art Unit: 1641

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Please note that classifications in the restriction are illustrative only and do not represent all the classes and subclasses which must be searched for each invention; nor is the search limited to issued US patents, but rather includes foreign patents and applications as well as literature searches, therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated proper.

- 45. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah A Davis whose telephone number is (703) 308-4427. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (703) 305-3399. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1123.

Deborah A. Davis

CM1, 7D16

March 24, 2003

LONG V. LE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

03/24/03